

DISCOVERY OF A LUMINOUS WHITE DWARF IN A YOUNG STAR CLUSTER IN THE LARGE MAGELLANIC CLOUD

REBECCA A.W. ELSON¹, STEINN SIGURDSSON² JARROD HURLEY,
 MELVYN B. DAVIES³, AND GERARD F. GILMORE
 INSTITUTE OF ASTRONOMY, MADINGLEY ROAD, CAMBRIDGE CB3 0HA, UK

Draft version February 1, 2008

ABSTRACT

We have identified a candidate $\sim 1 - 2 \times 10^5$ year old luminous white dwarf in NGC 1818, a young star cluster in the Large Magellanic Cloud. This discovery strongly constrains the boundary mass M_c at which stars stop forming neutron stars and start forming white dwarfs, to $M_c \gtrsim 7.6 M_\odot$.

Key words: Stars:white dwarfs – globular clusters: individual: NGC 1818

1. INTRODUCTION

Stars of mass comparable to that of the sun evolve to form white dwarfs while, above some critical mass, M_c , stars detonate as type II supernovae instead, leaving neutron stars as remnants. The determination of M_c is important for understanding stellar evolution. It also has implications for the chemical evolution of galaxies, in that it affects the rate of enrichment of the interstellar medium and the total population of pulsars. The relationship between the mass of a white dwarf and that of its progenitor, as a function of the metallicity of the progenitor, is also important for understanding stellar evolution in the early universe (Jeffries 1997, van den Bergh & Tammann 1991). Predictions of M_c range from 6 – 10 $M_\odot$ depending on the details of models and on the metallicity of the star (Weidemann 1990, Jeffries 1997, García-Berro et al., 1997, Pols et al., 1998). Almost no observational constraints on M_c are available except from one Galactic open cluster in which the most luminous of four white dwarfs implies $M_c > 5.7 M_\odot$ (Koester & Reimers 1996). Statistical extrapolations of these data suggest $M_c \sim 8 M_\odot$ but with large formal uncertainty (Jeffries 1997).

The young rich star clusters in the Large Magellanic Cloud (LMC) are particularly well suited for studies of the evolution of intermediate mass stars. They typically contain an order of magnitude more stars than Galactic open clusters, and membership determination is not generally problematic as it is with Galactic clusters, which are often superposed against a dense curtain of disk stars. The clusters with age $\sim 2 - 4 \times 10^7$ yr have main-sequence turnoff masses $\sim 7.5 - 9.5 M_\odot$, (Will et al., 1995) interestingly close to the theoretical range of values for M_c .

Models show that turnoff stars of this mass spend $\sim 2 \times 10^6$ yr on the red giant branch (Pols et al., 1998, Schaller et al., 1992) before ejecting their hydrogen envelope and leaving rapidly fading white dwarfs (assuming they in fact have masses $< M_c$). Rich LMC clusters typically have $\sim 10 - 20$ red giant branch stars, so we would expect the youngest remnant star to be $\sim 1 - 2 \times 10^5$ years old. The models of Wood give luminosities for white dwarfs of

this mass and age of $30 - 100 L_\odot$, with temperatures of $\sim 100,000$ K (Wood 1992, Wood 1991, D’Antona & Mazzitelli). Cooling is dominated by neutrino emission, and the remnants fade proportionally to $\sim t^{-2.5}$ or faster, depending on model details.

Adopting a distance modulus for the LMC of $(V - M_V) = 18.5$ (Panagia et al., 1991) would imply apparent magnitudes for these young white dwarfs of $V \sim 18 - 19.5$. They would be visible even from the ground, although because of crowding, Hubble Space Telescope (HST) observations would be required for accurate photometry. The white dwarfs would be distinguished from comparably bright main-sequence stars by their extreme blueness. To investigate the possibility of finding young white dwarfs in LMC clusters, we analysed HST archive images of NGC 1818. This cluster has mass $\sim 2.8 \times 10^4 M_\odot$, core radius $r_c = 2.0$ pc, half-mass radius $r_h \sim 14$ pc (Elson et al., 1987), and age $\sim 2 - 4 \times 10^7$ yr (Will et al., 1995). There are currently ~ 16 stars in the red giant phase.

2. OBSERVATIONS

The images of NGC 1818 were obtained with the Wide Field and Planetary Camera (WFPC2) on 1995 December 8, with the F336W ($\equiv U_{336}$), F555W ($\equiv V_{555}$), and F814W ($\equiv I_{814}$) filters. Total exposure times are 960, 880 and 1290 seconds respectively (Hunter et al., 1997). The images in each filter were coadded with a median filter to eliminate cosmic rays.

DAOFIND was used to detect objects 4σ above the background. Point-spread function (PSF) fitting was used to help eliminate spurious detections: these include primarily structure in the PSF, particularly around saturated stars, and bright pixels along diffraction spikes. The final photometry was performed using an aperture with radius 2 pixels, and aperture corrections and zero points were applied (Holtzman et al., 1995a, Holtzman et al., 1995b, Elson et al., 1998a). A value of $E(B - V) = 0.05$ was adopted for the reddening.

A color-magnitude diagram (CMD) in V_{555} vs $(U_{336} - V_{555})$ for the Planetary Camera (PC) revealed a prominent

¹corresponding author; E-mail: elson@ast.cam.ac.uk

²EU Marie Curie Fellow; E-mail: steinn@ast.cam.ac.uk

³Royal Society URF

sequence of binary stars, and we explore the implications for the binary population of NGC 1818 elsewhere (Elson et al., 1998a). CMDs for the three WFC chips combined are shown in Figs. 1a and b. These cover a radial range from ~ 2 core radii, out to about half way to the edge of the cluster. Stars with $V_{555} < 17.5$ are saturated; the main-sequence turnoff is at $V_{555} \approx 14$. (We note the presence of an apparent gap in the main-sequence at $V_{555} \sim 20.3$ which may be due to a possible jump in stellar magnitudes around $\sim 1.5 - 2 M_{\odot}$ due to the onset of convective overshooting (Pols et al., 1998).)

Models suggest that white dwarfs should have $(U - V)_0 \sim -1.5$ and $(V - I)_0 \sim -0.4$ (Wood 1991, Cheselka et al., 1993); these values are roughly independent of age and metallicity over the range of interest. For white dwarfs the model colors are equivalent to $(V_{555} - I_{814}) = -0.44$ and $(U_{336} - V_{555}) = -1.85$ (Holtzmann et al., 1995b Fig. 11).

The boxes drawn in Figs. 1a and b delimit plausible ranges of colors and magnitudes for a young white dwarf. We inspected visually all detections within these boxes, and in a similar range of magnitudes and colors for the CMDs for the PC chip. All but one of the objects turned out to be spurious detections as described above. One, however, turned out to be unambiguously stellar. It is indicated with a filled circle in the CMDs, and is circled on the image of the cluster shown in Figure 2.

Could the photometry, or identification as a white dwarf, be in error? Poisson error bars are included in Fig. 1b, and indicate that the extreme blueness of this object cannot be due to random photometric errors. Nor is it likely that the colors are biased bluewards by a residual cosmic ray in the F336W image: six independent images were coadded, so a cosmic ray would have had to hit the same pixel in several of them. Goodness-of-fit parameters (DAOPHOT's 'sharpness' parameter and χ^2) derived from fitting a PSF to this object are within the range expected for a star: there is no evidence that it is strongly peaked, as a cosmic ray would be, or resolved, as a background galaxy would be. (A background galaxy this bright would be easily identifiable in a WFPC2 image.)

The object is extremely unlikely to be a quasar: quasars of this magnitude have surface density ~ 1 per square degree (Colless et al., 1991, Boyle et al., 1988), so the probability of finding one in our field is $\sim 10^{-3}$. It is even more unlikely to be a foreground star in the halo of our Galaxy: the number of Galactic stars of this magnitude expected in a WFPC2 field of view at high galactic latitude is less than one (Santiago, Gilmore & Elson 1996). At a distance of, say, 10 kpc, a Galactic white dwarf would have absolute magnitude $M_V \sim -3.5$, which is far too bright to be an old white dwarf. On the other hand, given the number of stars on the giant branch, we would expect to find about one young white dwarf if the turnoff mass in NGC 1818 is indeed less than M_c . Nor should we be surprised to find it outside the core of the cluster: more than half the red giants in the cluster are outside the core, and four are further from the cluster center than our white dwarf candidate.

If we see one white dwarf with $V \sim 18.5$, would we expect to see many more fainter ones? This depends on the cluster mass function and on the difference between the turnoff mass and M_c . Given the number of red giants in

the cluster, and assuming that $M_{\text{turnoff}} \approx M_c$, we might expect there to be 2 ± 2 other white dwarfs with magnitude up to ~ 4 mag fainter than our candidate (ie. with $18.5 < V < 22.5$). Given that sample of stars in the PC image is incomplete due to the presence of many saturated stars, and that the WFC chips cover just over 50% of the outer parts of the cluster, the expected numbers are consistent with our observations. If M_c is significantly greater than the turnoff mass of the cluster then stars with slightly smaller masses than the current turnoff mass will have evolved to form white dwarfs which by now will have cooled and faded. We might therefore expect there to be 4-8 other white dwarfs at fainter magnitudes still; the exact number expected is dominated by small number statistics when the mass function is extrapolated. Due to the rapid fading, we would expect these to be ~ 5 magnitudes fainter (ie. $V \sim 23.5$) and they would be difficult or impossible to detect in the currently available WFPC2 images. The luminosity function of white dwarfs expected in young LMC clusters is discussed further in Elson et al., 1998a. Deeper images of NGC 1818 are scheduled during HST Cycle 7 (Project 7307) to look for a sequence of fainter, older white dwarfs.

3. DISCUSSION

What constraints on M_c can we infer from the presence of a young white dwarf in NGC 1818? M_c must be greater than the turnoff mass, so the main task is to determine an accurate value for this. The main uncertainties are not in determining the magnitude of the turnoff, but in converting this magnitude to a mass. This requires a knowledge of both the metallicity and of whether stellar evolution models with or without convective overshooting are more appropriate. The metallicity of NGC 1818 has been determined from spectra of two stars to be $[\text{Fe}/\text{H}] = -0.8$ (Will et al., 1995). This value is, however, lower than the expected value for the young population in the LMC (Oliszewski et al., 1991), which is thought to be more like -0.2 . The membership of one of the stars is doubtful, and -0.8 may in fact be too low. Two models with convective overshooting, and metallicities $[\text{Fe}/\text{H}] = -1.3$ and -0.4 give an age for NGC 1818 of 4×10^7 yr, and a turnoff mass $7.6 \pm 0.1 M_{\odot}$. Two models without overshooting give an age $2.2 \pm 0.2 \times 10^7$ yr, and a turnoff mass $9.0 \pm 0.5 M_{\odot}$ (Will et al., 1995, Schaller et al., 1992). From our own stellar evolutionary models, which include convective overshooting, we predict $M_c = 7.0$ for $[\text{Fe}/\text{H}] = -0.8$ and $M_c = 7.7 M_{\odot}$ for $[\text{Fe}/\text{H}] = -0.3$. The convective overshoot parameter for these models is $\Lambda_c = 0.28$ for $M \sim 7.5 M_{\odot}$, which is close to but slightly higher than the models of Schaller et al. used by Will et al. Other groups use different values for Λ_c , and a full discussion of the effect of the choice of this parameter on our results is deferred to a future paper (Elson et al., 1998a). Our observations imply $M_c \gtrsim 7.6 M_{\odot}$, and perhaps $M_c \gtrsim 9.0 M_{\odot}$. A better determination of the metallicity of NGC 1818 would help constrain the value of M_c further, as would deeper images in which any older white dwarfs would be visible.

Another uncertainty affecting observational constraints on M_c is the possibility of an age spread among the stars near the main-sequence turnoff. For example, it is possible that the lower mass stars formed first, by a sufficient

margin that some stars slightly below top of the main-sequence are evolving on to the red giant branch at the same time as more massive stars. The most massive stars have main-sequence lifetimes about 5 Myr, so the total age spread is at most a few Myr. Such a spread in age would mean that stars evolving on to the red giant branch could have masses $\lesssim 0.3 M_{\odot}$ less than the measured turnoff mass which would imply $M_c \gtrsim 7.3 M_{\odot}$. Measuring such an age spreads requires accurate photometry for stars just below the turnoff, and we will be acquiring this during Cycle 7. Another source of uncertainty is the possibility that the object is a member of a mass-transfer binary, but this is unlikely and would require it now be a tight white dwarf – neutron star binary.

Because young white dwarfs are bright and very blue, detecting candidates even in ground based data is not difficult. They would be expected to be present in any star forming region containing significant numbers of stars with masses near M_c . For example, a recent CMD of an association in the Small Magellanic Cloud containing stars with ages 10 – 60 Myr contains three stars whose colors and magnitudes are consistent with those of young white dwarfs (Demers & Battinelli 1998).

Future HST observations of NGC 1818 and other young LMC clusters, to determine the cooling sequence, ages and masses of the white dwarf population in these clusters, should allow us to determine M_c more precisely, and possibly for a range of metallicities.

4. SUMMARY

We have identified a candidate luminous white dwarf in the young star cluster NGC 1818 in the LMC. The object is ~ 35 arcsec from the cluster center (about $4.5r_c$ and $0.6r_h$). It has coordinates 5:04:13.8, $-66:26:33.4$ (J2000). In the HST passbands it has $V_{555} = 18.43$, $(V_{555} - I_{814}) = -0.26$ and $(U_{336} - V_{555}) = -1.67$. In the

Johnson-Cousins system this corresponds to $V = 18.44$, $(V - I) = -0.25$ and $(U - V) = -1.32$. These values are corrected for reddening assuming $E(B - V) = 0.05$. Posisson errors are ± 0.03 for V and ± 0.04 for the colors. These do not include uncertainties in the transformation to the Johnson-Cousins system. The temperature is probably $\gtrsim 20,000$ K but is poorly constrained by the $(U - V)$ color. With the adopted distance modulus of 18.5, the object has absolute magnitude $M_V = -0.06$.

If this object is indeed a white dwarf, then its mass is probably $1.1 - 1.3 M_{\odot}$ (Weidemann 1990). The composition of white dwarfs formed from high mass progenitors is expected to be Oxygen–Neon–Magnesium, but may be Carbon/Oxygen. A spectroscopic determination of its composition is a priority. If spectroscopic followup observations confirm the identity of the candidate star as a luminous young white dwarf, we have strongly constrained the critical mass at which stars stop evolving to type II supernovae to $M_c \gtrsim 7.6 M_{\odot}$.

Note: A preliminary spectrum of the white dwarf candidate was obtained at the AAT 5 March 1998. The spectrum rules out the possibility that the object is a quasar. The velocity is indistinguishable from that of two other cluster members so it is also very unlikely to be a foreground object. Detailed modelling of the spectrum is currently in progress.

Acknowledgements: This research was supported in part by a PPARC rolling grant. SS acknowledges the support of the European Union through a Marie Curie Individual Fellowship. MBD gratefully acknowledges the support of the Royal Society through a URF. Funding for JH was provided by a grant from the Cambridge Commonwealth Trust, and from Trinity College. We would like to thank Brian Boyle, Matt Burleigh, Helen Johnston and Ray Stathakis for obtaining a spectrum of the candidate white dwarf.

REFERENCES

- Boyle, B.J., Shanks, T. & Peterson, B.A., 1988, MNRAS, 235, 935–948
 Cheselka, M., Holberg, J.B., Watkins, R. & Collins, J., 1993, AJ, 106, 2365–2369
 Colless, M., Ellis, R., Taylor, K., & Shaw, G., 1991, MNRAS, 253, 686–702
 D’Antona, F. & Mazzitelli, I., 1990, ARA&A, 28, 139–181
 Demers, S., & Battinelli, P., 1998, AJ, 115, 154–161
 Elson, R.A.W., Fall, S. M. & Freeman, K. C., 1987, ApJ, 323, 54–78
 Elson, R.A.W., Sigurdsson, S., Davies, M.B., Aarseth, S.J. & Hurley, J. & Gilmore, G., 1998a, in preparation
 Elson, R., Sigurdsson, S. et al., 1998b, in preparation
 García-Berro, E., Ritossa, C. & Iben Jr., I., 1997, ApJ, 485, 765–784
 Holtzman, J. et al, et al., 1996a, PASP, 107, 156–178
 Holtzman, J., A., Burrows, C., Casertano, S., Hester, J., Trauger, J., Watson, A., & Worthey, G., 1995b, PASP, 107, 1065–1093
 Hunter, D.A., Light, R.M., Holtzman, J.A., Lynds, R., O’Neil Jr., J.O. & Grillmair, C.J., 1997, ApJ, 478, 124–133
 Jeffries, R.D., 1997, MNRAS, 288, 585–588
 Koester, D. & Reimers, D., 1996, A&A, 313, 810–814
 Olszewski, E., Schommer, R., Suntzeff, N. & Harns, H., 1991, AJ, 101, 515–537
 Panagia, N., Gilmozzi, R., Macchetto, F., Adorf, H.-M., & Kirshner, R., 1991, ApJ, 380, L23–L26
 Santiago, B., Gilmore, G. & Elson, R., 1996, MNRAS, 281, 871–882
 Pols, O.R., Schroder, K.P., Hurley, J.R., Tout, C.A., & Eggleton, P.P., 1998, in preparation
 Schaller, G., Schaerer, D., Meynet, G. & Maeder, A., 1992, A&AS, 96, 269–331
 van den Bergh, S. & Tammann, G.A., 1991, ARA&A, 29, 363–407
 Weidemann, V., 1990, ARA&A, 28, 103–137
 Will, Jean-Marie, Bomans, D.J. & de Boer, K.S., 1995, *â*, 295, 54–62
 Wood, M.A., 1991, in *Proceedings of the 9th European Workshop on White Dwarfs*, (ed. D. Doester & K. Werner) (Berlin: Springer Verlag), 612–617
 Wood, M.A., 1992, ApJ, 386, 539–561

Fig. 1.— Colour-magnitude diagrams for stars in NGC 1818 in the three WFC chips. Magnitudes are in the HST passbands and have been dereddened assuming $E(B - V) = 0.05$. Stars above $V_{555} \sim 17.5$ are saturated. The boxes indicate the range of colors and magnitudes where we might expect to find white dwarfs. All points in these boxes are spurious detections except the filled circle which is a candidate young white dwarf. Poisson errors in the $(U_{336} - V_{555})$ colors are shown. Much of the scatter redwards from the main-sequence at $V_{555} < 21$ is due to the presence of binary stars.

Fig. 2.— WFPC2 image of the inner regions of NGC 1818 in the F555W passband. The field is 130 arcseconds on a side. The core radius of the cluster is 8 arcsec. The candidate white dwarf is circled. (The diameter of the circle is 7 arcsec.)



